

Decision Notice 141/2024

Claims procedure – failure to respond

Applicant: The Applicant Authority: Glasgow City Council Case Ref: 202400772

Summary

The Applicant asked the Authority for information about the procedure used by the Authority to handle claims of vehicle repair costs caused by potholes. This decision finds that the Authority failed to respond to the request and requirement for review within the timescale allowed by the Freedom of Information (Scotland) Act 2002 (FOISA) and the Environmental Information (Scotland) Regulations 2004 (the EIRs).

Background

- 1. The Applicant made an information request to the Authority on 8 March 2024, and received an automated acknowledgement the same day.
- 2. The Authority did not respond to the information request.
- 3. On 29 April 2024, the Applicant wrote to the Authority requiring a review in respect of its failure to respond. Again, an automated acknowledgment was received.
- 4. The Applicant did not receive a response to his requirement for review.
- 5. The Applicant wrote to the Commissioner on 4 June 2024, stating that he was dissatisfied with the Authority's failure to respond and applying to the Commissioner for a decision in terms of section 47(1) of FOISA. The enforcement provisions of FOISA apply to the enforcement of the EIRs, subject to specified modifications see regulation 17.
- 6. The Commissioner determined that the application complied with section 47(2) of FOISA and that he had the power to carry out an investigation.

Investigation

- 7. Section 49(3)(a) of FOISA requires the Commissioner to notify public authorities of an application and to give them an opportunity to comment. The Commissioner did this on 4 June 2024.
- 8. The Commissioner received submissions from the Authority on 10 June 2024.
- 9. It is apparent from the terms of the request that at least some of the information caught by it will be environmental information as defined by regulation 2(1) of the EIRs. In <u>Decision</u> <u>218/2007 Transport Scotland</u>¹, the Commissioner confirmed at paragraph 51 that where environmental information is concerned, there are two separate statutory frameworks for access to that information and, in terms of the legislation, an authority is required to consider the request under both FOISA and EIRs.
- 10. The Authority accepted that it had not responded to the Applicant's request and requirement for review within the timescale allowed by FOISA and the EIRs.
- 11. The Authority explained that the request had not been logged properly and the Applicant should have received a response. It further explained that in-depth training will be provided to colleagues to ensure that they are aware of their statutory obligations under the Act and that there is also a planned refresh of the Authority's online FOI GOLD training course for staff and an update to its intranet pages.
- 12. Section 10(1) of FOISA gives Scottish public authorities a maximum of 20 working days following the date of receipt of the request to comply with a request for information. This is subject to qualifications which are not relevant in this case. The same timescale is laid down by regulation 5(2)(a) of the EIRs.
- 13. It is a matter of fact that the Authority did not provide a response to the Applicant's request for information within 20 working days, so the Commissioner finds that it failed to comply with section 10(1) of FOISA and regulation 5(2)(a) of the EIRs.
- 14. Section 21(1) of FOISA gives Scottish public authorities a maximum of 20 working days following the date of receipt of the requirement to comply with a requirement for review. Again, this is subject to qualifications which are not relevant in this case. The same timescale is laid down by regulation 16(4) of the EIRs.
- 15. It is a matter of fact that the Authority did not provide a response to the Applicant's requirement for review within 20 working days, so the Commissioner finds that it failed to comply with section 21(1) of FOISA and regulation 16(4) of the EIRs.
- 16. The Authority responded to the Applicant's requirement for review on 6 June 2024, so the Commissioner does not require it to take any further action in relation to the Applicant's application.
- 17. The Commissioner notes that the Authority apologised to the Applicant for its failure to comply.

¹ https://www.itspublicknowledge.info/decision-2182007

Decision

The Commissioner finds that the Authority failed to comply with Part 1 of the Freedom of Information (Scotland) Act 2002 (FOISA) and with the Environmental Information (Scotland) Regulations 2004 (the EIRs) in dealing with the information request made by the Applicant. In particular, the Authority failed to respond to the Applicant's request for information and requirement for review within the timescales laid down by sections 10(1) and 21(1) of FOISA and regulations 5(2) and 16(4) of the EIRs. Given that the Authority has now responded to the Applicant's requirement for review, he does not require the Authority to take any action.

Appeal

Should either the Applicant or the Authority wish to appeal against this decision, they have the right to appeal to the Court of Session on a point of law only. Any such appeal must be made within 42 days after the date of intimation of this decision.

Jennifer Ross Acting Deputy Head of Enforcement

26 June 2024